

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JOHNIE R. WILLIAMS, III,)	CASE NO. C10-0084-JLR-MAT
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
)	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
CITY OF EVERETT, et al.,)	COUNSEL
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. The Court, having reviewed plaintiff's motion, and the balance of the record, does hereby find and ORDER:

(1) Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 4) is DENIED. There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) can request counsel to represent a party proceeding in forma pauperis, the Court may do so only in exceptional circumstances. *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1980); *Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); *Aldabe v. Aldabe*, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances

01 requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the
02 plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved.
03 *Wilborn*, 789 F.2d at 1331. Plaintiff has not, at this juncture, demonstrated any likelihood that
04 he will succeed on the merits of his case. Thus, plaintiff has not demonstrated that this case
05 involves exceptional circumstances which warrant appointment of counsel.

06 (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and to the Honorable James
07 L. Robart.

08 DATED this 23rd day of March, 2010.

09
10 

11 Mary Alice Theiler
12 United States Magistrate Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22